

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE VILLAGE BUILDING AUDITORIUM, AT 3910 OLD BUCKINGHAM ROAD IN POWHATAN COUNTY, VIRGINIA, AND REMOTELY BY ELECTRONIC MEANS ON MARCH 28, 2022, AT 6:30 PM.

Board of Supervisors Present: David T. Williams, District 1,

Steve W. McClung, District 2,

Mike W. Byerly, District 3, Vice-Chair

Bill L. Cox, District 4,

Karin M. Carmack, District 5, Chair

Board of Supervisors Absent: None

County Staff Present: Ned Smither, County Administrator

Bret Schardein, Assistant County Administrator

Tom Lacheney, County Attorney Frank Hopkins, Planning Director

Constitutional Officers Present: Brad Nunnally, Sherriff

Guests Present: None

1. Call To Order

Chairman Byerly called the meeting to order at approximately 6:30 PM.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. McClung led the pledge of allegiance.

3. Invocation

Mr. Williams led the invocation.

4. Requests to Postpone Agenda Items and Additions, Deletions, or Changes in the Order of Presentation

None.

5. Formal Approval of Agenda

Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve the agenda as presented. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Chairman Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED



6. County Administrator Update- Certificates of Appreciation, Special Resolutions, Proclamations, and Presentations

A. Earth Day Proclamation

Mr. Smither gave the Board's proclamation of the celebration of Earth Day. He also commended the Local Earth Day Coalition. Ms. McCracken, the group's chair, urged the community to come together at their upcoming celebration. Ms. Howland represented the Anti-litter Council and gave each of the Board members a trash grabber as a reminder to keep the community clean.

7. Public Comment (time limit 3 minutes per individual/5minutes per group, 30 minutes total time limit that can be extended by the Board)

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

<u>Terry Adcock</u>, 5719 <u>Cartersville Road</u>, represented the several citizens that signed petitions opposing the approval of the Beldale Solar Project. She gave specific examples in which she believed the project is not in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan. She urged the Board to oppose this project.

Blake Cox, representing the Land and Liberty Coalition, stated that after much review, he believes this project to be an excellent example of smart and responsible clean energy.

Kenneth Hatcher, 3617 Trenholm Road, asked why the Board was voting on the project's compliance with the Comprehensive Plan if it is not required by the state. He asked that the Board return the case to the Planning Commission instead of voting on its compliance and urged them to remove the term "solar farm" from any official documents.

Stephen Barham, 3492 Richards Run, urged the Board to oppose the Beldale Solar Project. He also expressed concern about the possibility that the tax rate would not be cut. He pointed to the hardship that the citizens of Powhatan have faced in the past year.

Robin Duncan, 5809 Anderson Highway, mentioned a medical setback that the county may experience if erosion were to occur at the solar project site. This issue was directly related to multi-drug-resistant bacteria. She asked the Board to consider their decision carefully before making a decision.



<u>Jane Lloyd</u>, <u>36 Hatcher Road</u>, shared some comments made by Ms. Ramona Thompson that discussed the creation of a social area for the community to come together and engage with one another. She urged the Board to act in creating this space.

<u>Cordelia David, 1605 Cook Road,</u> urged the Board to establish a senior center where the elderly citizens in the community could come together.

Barbara Brown, 4550 Anderson Highway, expressed her personal experience owning a farm. She pointed to a method being used in other states where pollinator plants and beehives are placed around the solar panels to take advantage of the agricultural land. She proposed that the Board add a condition that the solar operator includes some agricultural use.

<u>Lindsey Yak, 2813 Maidens Road</u>, pointed to specific examples in which the parcel is not in line with rural designated area and protected lands guidelines. She asked that the Board vote against the solar project.

Amy Wood, 5635 Cartersville Road, read some of the conditions that she found in similar cases from other counties. She asked that the Board consider these conditions when discussing a route of action.

Max Timberlake, 1479 Dorset Road, represented the Powhatan Farm Bureau Federation. He expressed concern with the term "solar farm" and the implications it has on the farming industry. He also expressed that the Farm Bureau Board does not believe that the Beldale Solar Project is in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan. He recommended that a study be done on the impact of the project or that the project take place elsewhere.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

8. Consent Agenda

- **a.** Resolution R-2022-07 Amending the Fiscal Year 2022 Powhatan County Operating Budget by Budgeting and Appropriating \$33,747.87 in Insurance Recoveries
- **b.** Resolution R-2022-08 Amending the Fiscal Year 2022 Powhatan County Operating Budget by Budgeting and Appropriating \$61,301.04 in Grants and Donations
- c. Minutes February 22, 2022, Workshop Meeting
- **d.** Minutes February 28, 2022, Regular Meeting
- vDOT January 2022 Monthly Report
 Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve the consent agenda as presented. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.



Chairman Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED

9. New Business

a. Senior Action Committee Presentation (Requested by Ms. Carmack)

Mr. Smither introduced Dr. Oliver, who represented the Senior Action Committee during a brief presentation. This presentation the purpose of the program which included advocating for the county's senior citizens in multiple ways. She went on the share a mission statement, program highlights & benefits, the definition of a senior center, program recommendations, and reasons to support the program. She urged the Board to support the establishment of a senior center. Chairman Byerly thanked Dr. Oliver for her presentation. He believed there was a definite way to help improve the county's relationship with its seniors and expressed support for the Committee's goals.

b. Resolution R-2022-09 Fixing Calendar Year 2022 Personal Property Tax Rates

Mr. Smither gave a brief overview. Mr. Cox asked if the Board is obligated to list disabled veterans, personal property, and volunteer fire and rescue in the tax rate. Mr. Smither explained that the Board is not legally allowed to make those things free, but they can set a low rate.

Chairman Byerly made a motion to Resolution R-2022-09 as presented. Ms. Carmack seconded the motion.

Chairman Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED

c. Resolution R-2022-10 Fixing Calendar Year 2022 Real Estate Tax Rate

Mr. Cox made a motion to defer Resolution R-2022-10 until the Board's regular April meeting to allow the Board to explore the real estate tax decrease. Mr. Williams seconded the motion.

Mr. Cox pointed to extreme inflation and the world issues that are affecting gas prices in the U.S. to support his motion to defer. He stated that there should be a 2-3 cent drop in the tax rate to accommodate for this. He also pointed to the contingency fund as a resource that would allow the county to lower the tax rate, but this has yet to be discussed.

Ms. Carmack stated she is comfortable with the \$0.79 tax rate because it is regionally competitive, fiscally well-run counties do not adjust on a whim, and it provides stability in an erratic stock market.



Mr. Williams stated that plans have to change as circumstances change. He expressed the importance of being flexible in an ever-changing economy. He also explained that lowering the tax rate is not a decision based on a whim; inflation does not show any signs of going down and he is reacting accordingly.

Mr. McClung stated that he would be in support of lowering the tax rate if the Board could take a closer look at the data and come to an agreement. Chairman Byerly stated that the county runs on the backs of its citizens. He explained that in these difficult times it is challenging to keep the tax rate low while providing adequate funds and services to keep the county operating smoothly. Mr. Williams stated that the success of the county depends on how the Board chooses to plan, manage, and spend. He expressed that he was in support of a minimum of \$0.77 tax rate. Mr. Smither presented the projected fund balance for a tax rate of \$0.77. Mr. Cox stated that the reason this projection looks negative is that the revenue is not being accounted for. He also stated that a large of the budget has not been voted on yet.

Chairman Byerly reminded the Board that there had been a 5-0 vote at the last budget workshop to take \$465,000.00 out of the contingency fund and put it towards health care. He asked Mr. Smither what he believes the rest of the contingency fund is earmarked for. Mr. Smither explained that at least \$400,000.00 of the contingency fund is designated to address salary compression, remain competitive, and accommodate the cost of living.

Mr. Cox repeated his motion to defer Resolution R-2022-10 until the Board's regular April meeting to allow the Board to explore the real estate tax decrease. Mr. Williams seconded the motion.

Bill L. Cox and David T. Williams voted AYE. Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, and Steve W. McClung voted NO.

VOTE 3-2 MOTION FAILED

Mr. Williams made a substitute motion to amend Resolution R-2022-10 with a tax rate of \$0.77. Mr. Cox seconded the motion.

Bill L. Cox and David T. Williams voted AYE. Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, and Steve W. McClung voted NO.

VOTE 3-2 MOTION FAILED

Chairman Byerly made the motion to adopt Resolution R-2022-10 as presented to maintain the tax rate at \$0.79. Ms. Carmack seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, and Steve W. McClung voted AYE. Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted NO.

VOTE 3-2 MOTION PASSED



d. Substantial Accord Appeal 21-09-CUP Beldale Solar Farm

Drew Price, representing Beldale Solar, gave a brief presentation. He reminded the Board and citizens at the hearing that today's decision is strictly about the project's accordance with the Comprehensive Plan. He provided multiples diagrams that outline the project's location in relation to the county's electric infrastructure, buildings & excluded zones, natural conservation areas, and other solar projects. He also presented the project's projected land use, compared its other attributes to the Comprehensive Plan, and went over some of the conditions they have already agreed to, including a voluntary condition that prevents any additional solar energy on the property.

Ms. Cohen, representing the applicant, gave a brief legal overview of the case. She focused on the phrase "substantially in accord" with the Comprehensive Plan, which she reminded the Board does not mean "holy in accord". She explained that this is because the Comprehensive Plan only serves as a guideline for the development and implementation of zoning ordinances. She also explained that the Board will have more opportunities to discuss the project's conformity with the Comprehensive Plan in the future if they act favorably tonight.

Mr. Cox asked why the County had not advertised a second public hearing for the application if there had been two public hearings for their first application. He referenced the applicant's request to have a public hearing as well. Mr. Lacheney stated that no state law that requires the County to have a second public hearing. He also clarified that if the Board agrees with the Planning Commission's vote, the application will be denied because it cannot be approved for development if it is found to be in disaccord with the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. McClung stated that he had been to the property and will support the case going back to the Planning Commission for review. Ms. Carmack stated that she believes the Board owes the applicant the opportunity to present his case. Mr. Cox disagreed with Ms. Carmack. He stated that these are matters of business that should not be taken personally. He stated that he does not believe the project represents the rural character of Powhatan County.

Ms. Carmack made a motion to overturn the Planning Commission's decision and consider Case 21-09-CUP in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan. Chairman Byerly seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, and Steve W. McClung voted AYE. Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted NO.

VOTE 3-2 MOTION PASSED

Chairman Byerly called for a five-minute break.

10. Public Hearing

a. Ordinance O-2022-12: Amending the Powhatan County Code of Ordinances to Amend the Provisions of Chapter 70 (Taxation), Article II (Exemptions for Elderly and Handicapped), Sections 70-56 to Increase the Maximum Exemption to \$1600.00.



Mr. Smither gave a brief overview. He stated that this ordinance would provide an exemption afforded to qualifying elderly and handicapped taxpayers, doubling the maximum exemption from \$800.00 to \$1,600.00. Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period. Seeing no one wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. McClung made a motion to approve Ordinance O-2022-12 as presented. Mr. Cox asked how much this was going to cost. Mr. Smither stated that it would cost an additional \$200,000.00. Mr. McClung repeated his motion to approve Ordinance O-2022-12 as presented. Ms. Carmack seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steven W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED

b. O-2022-11 Case #22-02-AZ: The County of Powhatan requests the amendment of the provisions set forth in section of and Chapter 68 (Subdivision Ordinance) Article III (Subdivision Standards) Sec. 68-175 – Access and Circulation, to remove Powhatan County's specific access management standards. This provision will revert site plan access management to VDOT standards. Mr. Smither gave a brief overview. He stated that this case involved a potential change in access management standards. Mr. Hopkins presented the case and proposed that Powhatan County adopt VDOT access management standards. He went over the benefits of this adoption and explained that this could remove the necessity for applicants to have to submit waivers. Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

Stephen Barham, 3492 Richards Run, expressed some safety concerns with adopting VDOT standards. He urged the Board to keep the current standards.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve Case #22-02-AZ as presented. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Mr. Williams asked how the data of 70% had been calculated. He also asked if this percentage was calculated from a larger or small number. Mr. Schardein explained that the number had been calculated by reviewing the rezonings, conditional use permits, and site plans that the County had processed over the past five years. After some calculation, Mr. Schardein stated there would be twelve parcels left that would qualify for a waiver under the county's current standards. Mr. Williams stressed the importance of a traffic impact study. He expressed concern about the adoption and stated that he would be opposing it. Ms. Carmack explained that VDOT has recently redone all its access management standards to prohibit issues such as the ones that some have mentioned in Chesterfield. She clarified some misconceptions about the access management standards and explained that no one know where they came from. She also pointed out that there are no standardized criteria in place to guide the Board of Supervisors in these matters.



Mr. Williams stated that the current access management standards were supported by the Institute of Traffic Engineers and the American Association of Traffic Engineers. Mr. Cox stated that he has not been presented with a problem that merits this type of solution. He pointed to an example in which VDOT standards did not satisfy the county's standards. Ms. Carmack reminded the other members of the Board that this adoption would still allow the County to request a traffic impact analysis and/or a proffer. Mr. Cox expressed some confusion about what the Board would be voting on tonight.

Ms. Carmack repeated her motion to approve Case #22-02-AZ as presented. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, and Steve W. McClung voted AYE. Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted NO.

VOTE 3-2 MOTION PASSED

c. O-2022-07 Case #21-10-REZC: DJM Ventures (District #2: Flat Rock/Holly Hills) requests the rezoning of Tax Map Parcel #41-82D from Agricultural (A-10) to Commerce Center (CC) and amendment of the zoning district map of approximately 1.2 acre of land located on the south side of State Route 60 (Anderson Highway) just east of State Route 676 (Urbine Rd). The applicant is seeking to create a restaurant. The 2021 Long-Range Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Gateway Business (Route 60 Corridor East Special Area Plan) and Protected Lands on the Countywide Future Land Use Plan.

Mr. Hopkins gave a brief presentation. He stated that the case at hand is a rezoning request to open up enough room to put in a buffer without blocking access.

The applicant spoke briefly. She stated that both properties had been rezoned at A-10 in 2018 without her knowledge. She explained that nothing commercial would be done on the property because she just wants to be able to add a driveway. She also stated that the county was welcome to do what they wished with the rest of the property. Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

<u>Stephen Barrum, 3492 Richard's Run,</u> advocated for the applicants. He stated that this small business would be a good addition to the community and urged the Board to approve the rezoning.

Seeing no one wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. McClung made a motion to recommend approval of Case #21-10-REZC with proffer conditions. Chairman Byerly seconded the motion.

Mr. Cox asked why there was no VDOT information on Urbine Road. Mr. Hopkins explained that he was unaware that it was a requirement. Mr. Lacheney stated that the existing building could not be used a restaurant if the building does not meet current requirements. Mr. Schardein provided some clarification and stated that Mr. Hopkin's interpretation of the rezoning is consistent with prior staff interpretation. He stated that he believed they were following the



ordinance correctly. Mr. Williams expressed concern about the possibility of being sued if this rezoning is approved. He also asked how many parking spaces this use would require. Mr. Schardein stated that those numbers would be looked at when the site plan becomes available in the future. Mr. Cox asked if Mr. Schardein's explanation had changed Mr. Lacheney's opinion on the matter. Mr. Lacheney stated that it had not changed his perspective.

Mr. McClung repeated his motion to recommend approval of Case #21-10-REZC with proffer conditions. Chairman Byerly seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, and Steve W. McClung voted AYE. Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted NO.

VOTE 3-2 MOTION PASSED

d. Ordinance O-2022-06: Powhatan County requests to replace the current local board with an appointed government official as allowed by Va. Code §63.2-302. Pursuant to Va. Code §63.2-302 The Powhatan County Board of Supervisors may establish the local Social Services Board for Powhatan County as a local government official or a local board.

Mr. Smither gave a brief overview. Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

<u>Jacqueline Anderson</u>, <u>3342 Pine Acre Drive</u>, expressed severe concern with the case at hand. She believed that the local Board would be better suited with Powhatan citizens in charge. She urged the Board to oppose this ordinance.

Stephen Barham, 3492 Richard's Run, agreed with Ms. Anderson. He asked the Board to stand with the citizens on this matter.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve Ordinance O-2022-06 as presented. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Mr. Williams asked that the representative on the Social Services Board explain why this is being done. Ms. Carmack gave a brief presentation. She stated that the Social Services Board is not running as it should. She went over the definition of an administrative and advisory board as well as many of the reasons this change is needed to keep the Board running smoothly. She also explained that all members of the Social Services Board, whether it is administrative or advisory, must attend the same state training after being appointed. Mr. Williams asked Ms. Carmack what kind of assistance and guidance she had gotten from the director during her time on the Social Services Board. Ms. Carmack stated that her relationship with the director had been positive and helpful. Mr. Williams asked if the Social Services had received quarterly reports from the state in terms of how well the department in Powhatan County was doing. Ms. Carmack stated that they had not received quarterly performance reports. She also pointed out that, with the way the Social Services Board is currently set up, it is the Board members' responsibility to ask for a report. She also disagreed with Mr. William's statement about the Board's poor performance. Mr. Williams expressed concern with the lack of oversight on the Social Services Board as well



as its conduct and lack of communication recently. Ms. Carmack agreed with Mr. William's concern and stated that if the Social Services Board remains administrative, the reports that will help them gauge their performance will continue to go elsewhere instead of to the members themselves. Mr. Cox stated that he did not understand how the solution Ms. Carmack is proposing will solve anything. He stated that he would not be voting due to his lack of support for either choice. Mr. Williams agreed with Mr. Cox. Chairman Byerly stated that Mr. Williams's statements had convinced him that changes need to be made.

Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve Ordinance O-2022-06 as presented. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, and Steve W. McClung voted AYE. Bill L. Cox and David T. Williams abstained from voting.

VOTE 3-2 MOTION PASSED

e. O-2022-08 Case #22-01-CUP: Terre Haute (District #1: Subletts) request of Roberta Teresa Hudson for a conditional use permit (CUP) to allow a country inn within the Agricultural-10 (A-10) zoning district per Sec. 83-162 of the Zoning Ordinance of the County of Powhatan. The use is located at Tax Map Parcel #32-27D and address 2521 Huguenot Springs Rd in eastern Powhatan Country near the Page 39 Page 41 Page 59 Page 61 Page 71 Page 100 Page 102 POWHATAN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REGULAR MEETING MARCH 28, 2022, intersection of Huguenot Springs Rd (U.S. RT 607) and Manakin Rd (U.S. RT 667). The 2021 Long Range Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Rural Areas and Protected Lands on the Countywide Future Land Use Plan. Mr. Hopkins gave a brief overview. He stated that the conditional use permit is for a country inn with a maximum capacity of 50 guests. He stated that the building is already being used as an Airbnb and that the applicant had already updated the septic system to accommodate increasing numbers of people. The applicant stated that the building has four bedrooms and that is all she plans to use to accommodate guests. She expressed her desire to leave the property in a self-sustainable condition so that she can pass it on to a non-profit in the event of her passing.

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

Betty McCracken, 3918 Howell Road, presented a letter to the Board members. She expressed her support for the applicant and applauded her environmentally friendly efforts. Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. Williams made a motion to approve Case #22-01-CUP as presented. Chairman Byerly seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED



G-2022-09 Case #22-02-CUP: Overboe (District #1: Subletts) request of Trent and Stephanie Overboe for a conditional use permit (CUP) to allow an accessory dwelling unit within the Single-family Residential-2 (R-2) zoning district per Sec. 83-213 of the Zoning Ordinance of the County of Powhatan. The use is located at Tax Map Parcel #30B1-1-31 (2724 Valley Springs Rd) in central Powhatan County. The 2021 Long Range Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Rural Areas on the Countywide Future Land Use Plan. Mr. Hopkins gave a brief overview of the case. The applicant stated that the accessory dwelling would mostly be used for storage, meaning that there wouldn't be traffic going in and out. Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

Seeing no one wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. Williams made a motion to approve Case #22-02-CUP as presented. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED

Ms. Carmack made a recommendation to move these accessory dwellings to be an administrative oversight. Ms. Carmack made a motion that this recommendation be added to the Planning Commission's agenda. Chairman Byerly seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED

g. O-2022-10 Case #22-03-CUP: Baltz (District #2: Holly Hills) request of Laura Baltz for a conditional use permit (CUP) to allow a child day care center within the Residential Utility (RU) zoning district per Sec. 83-352 of the Zoning Ordinance of the County of Powhatan. The use is located at Tax Map Parcel #42A-2-B-13 (1510 Holly Hills Rd) in eastern Powhatan County. The 2021 Long Range Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Rural Areas on the Countywide Future Land Use Plan.

Mr. Hopkins gave a brief overview of the case.

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

Seeing no one wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. McClung made a motion to approve Case #22-03-CUP as presented. Mr. Williams seconded the motion.



Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, Bill L. Cox, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

VOTE 5-0 MOTION PASSED

11. Public Comment (time limit 3 minutes per individual/5minutes per group, 30 minutes total time limit that can be extended by the Board)

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

Kenneth Hatcher, 3617 Trenholm Road, expressed confusion with the application and appeal process that took place. He was concerned with this process and did not see a way that the solar project could be struck down after tonight's vote.

Stephen Barrum, 3492 Richard's Run, thanked Ms. Carmack for correcting his statement regarding the VDOT standards. He expressed concern with the Board's consideration for the citizens of Powhatan County.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

12. County Attorney Comments

No County Attorney comments.

13. County Administrator Comments

No County Administrator comments.

14. Board Comments

Chairman Byerly announced that there will be a public workshop held on Friday, April 1st. He welcomed the public to come and express their opinion. He stated that they would be comparing the tax rate to surrounding counties.

15. Adjournment

Chairman Byerly adjourned the meeting at approximately 10:34 PM.

ATTEST:

Ned Smither, County Administrator

Powhatan County Board of Supervisors

Michael W. Byerly, Chairman

Powhatan County Board of Supervisors



Recorded Vote:

David T. Williams	AYE
Steve W. McClung	AYE
Michael W. Byerly	AYE
Bill L. Cox	AYE
Karin M. Carmack	AYE